



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/624,511

07/23/2003

Giuseppe Cereda

Q76191

7413

23373 7590 12/23/2008  
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.  
SUITE 800  
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

HAILE, FEBEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/624,511	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CEREDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> FEBEN HAILE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. In view of applicant's amendment filed September 05, 2008, the status of the application is still pending with respect to claims 1-20.

2. The amendment filed is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-11 based upon Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951), and Tsunoda et al. (US 2002/050873), as set forth in the previous Office action because: the newly added claims fail to further clarify a distinction between the Applicants invention and the cited references, thus the subject matter is not patentable.

3. The amendment filed is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 12-20 based upon Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), Tsunoda et al. (US 2002/050873), Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951), and newly discovered reference Langer et al. as set forth in this Office action because: the newly added claims fail to further clarify a distinction between the Applicants invention and the cited references, thus the subject matter is not patentable.

### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 05, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies

Art Unit: 2416

(reconfiguring the multiplexer, i.e. varying the number of channels without altering the response of the remaining ones) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Furthermore, the Applicant respectfully traverses there is no suggestion in either Sawdey or Tsunoda to selectively couple a filter head to either a short circuit or a filter tail. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tsunoda teaches a filtering device comprising (1) distributed parameter resonance lines including two ends, i.e. filter head and filter tail, and (2) diode switches connected between the ends of the distributed parameter resonance lines, such that depending on the state of the diode switch, the distributed parameter resonance lines are open or short circuited. For example, page 2 paragraph 0016, the filtering device may be constructed such that when a switch is turned on into a closed state, one end of the distributed parameter line may be open, i.e. filter head, and the other end short circuited. Therefore as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that Sawdey and Tsunoda indeed does render the Applicant's invention obvious.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey, in view of Tsunoda et al. (US 2002/050873), hereinafter referred to as Tsunoda.

**Regarding claim 1**, Sawdey discloses a manifold (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; a manifold 36**), filter ports and filter means, with each filter being connected to the manifold at a corresponding one of said ports (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filters 56, 58, and 40 are connected to the manifold 36 through input/output ports**), wherein said filter means comprises: at least one filter comprising a first resonant cavity and a further resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the bandpass filters may be constructed with two cavities**), and at least one filter head having only a single resonant cavity which this the same as the first resonant cavity of said at least one filter (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the filter is separated into two parts by a transverse wall, with each side having the cavity; i.e. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail**).

Sawdey fails to explicitly suggest wherein said at least one filter head is configured as to be selectively connectable either to a corresponding covering for short circuit purposes or to a filter tail in order to provide full filter functionality.

Tsunoda teaches wherein said at least one filter head is configured as to be selectively connectable either to a corresponding covering for short circuit purposes or

to a filter tail in order to provide full filter functionality (**page 1 paragraph 0011 and page 2 paragraphs 0016-0017; a filtering device including a switch for selectively operating in an open or short circuit manner**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of the filtering device taught by Tsunoda into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey. The motivation for such a modification is an improved filtering device in a form with a reduced size at a low cost without having to use conventional circuit elements.

**Regarding claim 2**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head comprises at least a first coupling in addition to said first cavity (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture**).

**Regarding claim 3**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head further comprises a second coupling (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the filter 40 connects to the manifold 36 and the manifold 34 there would have to be 2 coupling apertures**).

**Regarding claim 4**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head is an integral part of the manifold (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filter 40 interconnects the manifold 36 to the manifold 34**).

**Regarding claim 6**, Sawdey discloses a manifold (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; a manifold 36**), filter ports and filter means, with each filter being

Art Unit: 2416

connected to the manifold at a corresponding one of said ports (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filters 56, 58, and 40 are connected to the manifold 36 through input/output ports**), wherein said filter means comprises: at least one filter comprising a first resonant cavity and a further resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the bandpass filters may be constructed with two cavities**), and at least one filter head having only a single resonant cavity which this the same as the first resonant cavity of said at least one filter (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the filter is separated into two parts by a transverse wall, with each side having the cavity; i.e. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail**).

Sawdey fails to explicitly suggest wherein said at least one filter head is configured as to be selectively connectable either to a corresponding covering for short circuit purposes or to a filter tail in order to provide full filter functionality.

Tsunoda teaches wherein said at least one filter head is configured as to be selectively connectable either to a corresponding covering for short circuit purposes or to a filter tail in order to provide full filter functionality (**page 1 paragraph 0011 and page 2 paragraphs 0016-0017; a filtering device including a switch for selectively operating in an open or short circuit manner**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of the filtering device taught by Tsunoda into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey. The motivation for

such a modification is an improved filtering device in a form with a reduced size at a low cost without having to use conventional circuit elements.

**Regarding claim 7**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head comprises at least a first coupling in addition to said first cavity (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture**).

**Regarding claim 8**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head further comprises a second coupling (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the filter 40 connects to the manifold 36 and the manifold 34 there would have to be 2 coupling apertures**

**Regarding claim 9**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head is an integral part of the manifold (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filter 40 interconnects the manifold 36 to the manifold 34**).

**Regarding claim 10**, Sawdey discloses the at least one filter head is made through standard waveguide technology, and the corresponding at least one filter tail is made by a technology selected from the group consisting H-plane technology and DR technology to make the device more compact (**page 2 paragraph 0017; each manifold is constructed of a section of a waveguide of rectangular cross-section; as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that the waveguide could have been implanted using a standard technology H-Plane**).



**Regarding claim 11**, Sawdey discloses a branching unit comprising one or more reconfigurable multiplexers (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0014; a satellite carries electronic equipment that includes a multiplexer with interconnect able manifolds**).

**Regarding claim 20**, Sawdey discloses the at least one filter head is made through standard waveguide technology, and the corresponding at least one filter tail is made by a technology selected from the group consisting H-plane technology and DR technology to make the device more compact (**page 2 paragraph 0017; each manifold is constructed of a section of a waveguide of rectangular cross-section; as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that the waveguide could have been implanted using a standard technology H-Plane**).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey, in view of Tsunoda et al. (US 2002/050873), hereinafter referred to as Tsunoda, in view of Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951), hereinafter referred to as Fiedziuszko.

**Regarding claim 5**, Sawdey as modified by Tsunoda disclose the limitations of the base claim.

Sawdey, Tsunoda fails to explicitly suggest wherein the covering plate is at a distance with respect to the manifold axis.

Fiedziuszko teaches t wherein the covering plate is at a distance with respect to the manifold axis (**figure 1 and column 2 lines 39-46; a multiplexer assembled with**

**waveguides, including cavity filters, coupled to a manifold, column 2 lines 60-62; where the waveguides are positioned along the longitudinal dimension of the manifold at distances z, y, and z, respectively from a short 18).**

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the manifold spacing adjustment taught by Fiedziuszko into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey as modified by the method of the filtering device suggested by Tsunoda. The motivation for such a modification is to assist in the tuning of the overall system thereby optimizing performance of the multiplexer while minimizing losses.

7. Claims 12-14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey, in view of Langer et al. (US 5,274,344), hereinafter referred to as Langer.

**Regarding claim 12**, Sawdey discloses a first filter (**figure 1 page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filters 56, 58, and 40**) comprising a first resonant cavity and a further resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the bandpass filters may be constructed with two cavities**), and a filter head having a single resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the filter is separated into two parts by a transverse wall, with each side having a cavity, i.e. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail**).

Sawdey fails to explicitly suggest said first filter being suitable for filtering a first channel, a filter head having a single resonant cavity, and a covering plate connected to said filter head for short circuit purposes, wherein said covering plate is removable from said filter head and wherein, when said covering plate is removed from said filter head, said filter head is connectable to a filter tail, wherein said filter head and filter tail form a second filter, and wherein said second filter is suitable for filtering a second channel.

Langer teaches said first filter being suitable for filtering a first channel (**column 1 lines 41-43; a frequency separating filter including a plurality of individual filters each for a different frequency channel**), and a covering plate connected to said filter head for short circuit purposes (**figure 3a and column 1 lines 44-45; a feeder wave guide of the filtering unit 10 is terminated with a short circuit 12**), wherein said covering plate is removable from said filter head (**column 2 lines 23-28; the short circuit can be removed**) and wherein, when said covering plate is removed from said filter head, said filter head is connectable to a filter tail (**column 2 lines 28-30; the short circuit is replaced by an expansion unit 13**), wherein said filter head and filter tail form a second filter (**figure 3b**), and wherein said second filter is suitable for filtering a second channel (**column 1 lines 50; the expansion unit also having a plurality of filters for different frequency channels**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the branch separating unit taught by Langer into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey as modified by the branch separating filter suggested by Langer. The motivation for such a modification is a

branch separating unit in a GHz frequency range such that undesirable high insertion losses are avoided.

**Regarding claim 13**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head comprises at least a first coupling in addition to said first cavity (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture**).

**Regarding claim 14**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head further comprises a second coupling (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the filter 40 connects to the manifold 36 and the manifold 34 there would have to be 2 coupling apertures**).

**Regarding claim 16**, Sawdey discloses providing a first filter (**figure 1 page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filters 56, 58, and 40**) comprising a first resonant cavity and a further resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the bandpass filters may be constructed with two cavities**), and providing a filter head having a single resonant cavity (**figure 2 and page 2 paragraph 0018; the filter is separated into two parts by a transverse wall, with each side having a cavity, i.e. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the filter 40 separated into two parts by a transverse wall could be a filter head and a filter tail**).

Sawdey fails to explicitly suggest said first filter being suitable for filtering a first channel, and providing a covering plate connected to said filter head for short circuit

Art Unit: 2416

purposes, removing said covering plate from said filter head and connecting said filter head to filter tail, wherein said filter head and filter tail form a second filter, and wherein said second filter is suitable for filtering a second channel.

Langer teaches said first filter being suitable for filtering a first channel (**column 1 lines 41-43; a frequency separating filter including a plurality of individual filters each for a different frequency channel**), and providing a covering plate connected to said filter head for short circuit purposes (**figure 3a and column 1 lines 44-45; a feeder wave guide of the filtering unit 10 is terminated with a short circuit 12**), removing said covering plate from said filter head and connecting said filter head to filter tail (**column 2 lines 23-28; the short circuit can be removed**) wherein said filter head and filter tail form a second filter (**column 2 lines 28-30; the short circuit is replaced by an expansion unit 13**), wherein said filter head and filter tail form a second filter (**figure 3b**), and wherein said second filter is suitable for filtering a second channel (**column 1 lines 50; the expansion unit also having a plurality of filters for different frequency channels**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the branch separating unit taught by Langer into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey as modified by the branch separating filter suggested by Langer. The motivation for such a modification is a branch separating unit in a GHz frequency range such that undesirable high insertion losses are avoided.

**Regarding claim 17**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head comprises at least a first coupling in addition to said first cavity (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture**).

**Regarding claim 18**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head further comprises a second coupling (**figure 3 and page 2 paragraph 0018; each bandpass filter includes a cavity and a coupling aperture; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that since the filter 40 connects to the manifold 36 and the manifold 34 there would have to be 2 coupling apertures**).

**Regarding claim 19**, Sawdey discloses wherein the at least one filter head is an integral part of the manifold (**figure 1 and page 2 paragraph 0015; bandpass filter 40 interconnects the manifold 36 to the manifold 34**).

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawdey et al. (US 2003/0179052), hereinafter referred to as Sawdey, in view of Langer et al. (US 5,274,344), hereinafter referred to as Langer, in view of Fiedziuszko et al. (US 6,472,951), hereinafter referred to as Fiedziuszko.

**Regarding claim 15**, Sawdey as modified by Langer disclose the limitations of the base claim.

Sawdey, Langer, and/or their combination fail to explicitly suggest wherein the covering plate is at a distance with respect to the manifold axis.

Fiedziuszko teaches wherein the covering plate is at a distance with respect to the manifold axis (**figure 1 and column 2 lines 39-46; a multiplexer assembled with waveguides, including cavity filters, coupled to a manifold, column 2 lines 60-62; where the waveguides are positioned along the longitudinal dimension of the manifold at distances  $z$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , respectively from a short 18**).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the manifold spacing adjustment taught by Fiedziuszko into the multiple channel routing multiplexer disclosed by Sawdey as modified by the branch separating filter suggested by Langer. The motivation for such a modification is to assist in the tuning of the overall system thereby optimizing performance of the multiplexer while minimizing losses.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2416

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**10.** Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FEBEN HAILE whose telephone number is (571)272-3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung Moe can be reached on (571)272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aung S. Moe/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

FEBEN HAILE  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2416



Application/Control Number: 10/624,511  
Art Unit: 2416

Page 16